**REMARKS** 

**Status of the Claims** 

Claims 1-10 are currently pending and under examination.

**Claim for Foreign Priority** 

Applicant previously filed (on January 24, 2007) a certified English translation of EP

application no 02001519.4, filed January 22, 2002. A copy of the certified English

translation is submitted herewith.

Accordingly, for the pending application, Applicant asserts a claim of foreign priority

as of the earliest effective filing date of January 22, 2002, the filing date of EP application

02001519.4.

Claim Support in Priority Document EP 02001519.4

Claims 1-10 find clear support in the specification of the priority document, EP

02001519.4, and, therefore, must enjoy the full benefit of the January 22, 2002 filing date.

See certified English language translation of the specification.

More specifically:

In claim 1, support for the recitation placing the biological sample on a two-

<u>dimensional support</u> is found at least on page 2 of the certified English language translation

of the specification at lines 29-30; support for the recitation applying protein-precipitating

or denaturing first solution L1 to the biological sample at a first temperature T1 for a

predetermined first time period Z1 is found at least on page 2 of the certified English

language translation of the specification at lines 30-32; support for the recitation c)

performing one of the following steps: (i) leaving the protein-precipitating or

denaturing solution L1 with the biological sample at a second temperature T2 for a

predetermined second time period Z2, with T2 being lower than T1 and Z2 being

longer, equal to or shorter than Z1; (ii) applying more protein-precipitating or

denaturing solution L1 to the biological sample at a second temperature T2 for a predetermined second time period Z2, with T2 being lower than T1 and Z2 being

longer, equal to or shorter than Z1; or (iii) applying a protein-precipitating or

denaturing solution L2 to the biological sample at a second temperature T2 for a

predetermined second time period Z2, with T2 being lower than T1 and Z2 being

longer, equal to or shorter than Z1 and d) drying the sample is found in the certified

English language translation of the specification at least on page 2 lines 32 through page 3

lines 1-3.

Support for Claim 2 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 3 lines 14-16.

Support for Claim 3 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 3 lines 18-19.

Support for Claim 4 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 3 line 22.

Support for Claim 5 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 3 lines 24-26

Support for Claim 6 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 3 lines 28-31.

Support for Claim 7 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 3 line 32.

Support for Claim 8 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 3 line 33 through page 4 line 2.

Support for Claim 9 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 4 lines 2-3.

Support for Claim 10 is found in the certified English language translation of the

specification at least on page 4 lines 5-8.

Page 4 of 6

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1, 3, and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0175827 A1 to Stillman et al.

("Stillman"). In view of the earliest effective filing date of the present application and the

above-mentioned claim for foreign priority, Applicant respectfully asserts that this rejection

is obviated.

As indicated on the face of Publication Number 2003/0175827 A1, Stillman was filed

on March 14, 2002, under U.S. Patent Application Serial Number 10/098,768. The filing

date of Stillman - March 14, 2002 - is after the priority date of January 22, 2002, of the

pending application. Hence, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), Stillman may not be

used as a "prior art" reference against the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicant

respectfully requests that the rejection of Claims 1, 3, and 5-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as

being anticipated by Stillman be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable in view of

Stillman. In view of the earliest effective filing date of the present application and the above-

mentioned claim for foreign priority, Applicant respectfully asserts that this rejection is

obviated.

As stated above, the filing date of Stillman – March 14, 2002 – is after the priority

date of January 22, 2002, of the pending application. Hence, Stillman may not be used as a

"prior art" reference against the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully

requests that the rejection of Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Stillman be

withdrawn.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman in

view of U.S. Patent No. 5,079,157 to Furuoya et al. ("Furuoya"). In view of the earliest

effective filing date of the present application and the above-mentioned claim for foreign

priority, Applicant respectfully asserts that this rejection is obviated.

Amendment and Response to Final Action dated November 22, 2006

Application No. 10/686,865

Filed: October 16, 2003

Page 5 of 6

As stated above, the filing date of Stillman – March 14, 2002 – is after the priority

date of January 22, 2002, of the pending application. Hence, Stillman may not be used as a

"prior art" reference against the claimed invention. As implied by the PTO, Furuoya alone

fails to teach or suggest each and every element of Claim 4. Accordingly, Applicant

respectfully requests that the rejection of Claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of

Stillman and Furuoya be withdrawn.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman in

view of U.S. Patent No. 4,629,785 to McCaffery ("McCaffery"). In view of the earliest

effective filing date of the present application and the above-mentioned claim for foreign

priority, Applicant respectfully asserts that this rejection is obviated.

As stated above, the filing date of Stillman – March 14, 2002 – is after the priority

date of January 22, 2002, of the pending application. Hence, Stillman may not be used as a

"prior art" reference against the claimed invention. As implied by the PTO, McCaffery alone

fails to teach or suggest each and every element of Claim 8. Accordingly, Applicant

respectfully requests that the rejection of Claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of

Stillman and McCaffery be withdrawn.

Amendment and Response to Final Action dated November 22, 2006

Application No. 10/686,865 Filed: October 16, 2003

Page 6 of 6

**CONCLUSION** 

In view of the above remarks, Applicant respectfully asserts that the rejections set

forth in the Final Office Action dated November 22, 2006, have been fully addressed and

overcome. Hence, Applicant asserts that all Claims are in condition for allowance and

requests that an early notice of allowance be issued. If issues may be resolved through

Examiner's Amendment, or clarified in any manner, a call to the undersigned attorney at

(404) 962-7523 is respectfully requested.

No fees are believed due, however, the Commissioner if hereby authorized to charge

any deficiencies which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.

09-0528.

Applicant recognizes that this document is presented after Final Action, and

Applicant appreciates the discretionary nature of any allowance. However, Application

courteously requests that the Examiner look favorably on this submission and the

overwhelming weight of the allowability of the pending claims. Applicant believes the filing

of an RCE to consider this submission would be an unnecessary taxing of resources of the

Patent Examiner and the Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3-15-2007

By: Louis T. Isaf

Reg. No. 29,078

WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC

P.O. Box 7037

Atlanta, Georgia 30357-0037

Direct Telephone: (404) 962-7523

Direct Facsimile: (404) 870-8173

Firm Telephone: (404) 872-7000

Atty. Docket No.: S159 1030.1 (38461.0005.8)

6

WCSR 3559694v1